

# Report of detailed analysis of all the four stakeholders:-


**\*Students**








**\*Teachers**

**\*Alumni**






**\*Employers**

## 1.4.1 Students Feedback Analysis

Sr. No.	Attributes	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
1	The content of the present syllabus fulfills the demands of the present time.	10.2%	9.3%	23.7%	47.5%	9.3%	






2	The current syllabus is job-oriented.	11%	12.7%	22%	44.1%	10.2%	
3	How do you evaluate the internal assessment process of the college?	26.3%	32.2%	26.3%	7.6%	7.6%	
4	Do the teachers regularly and punctually take lectures?	68.6%	16.1%	6.8%	3.4%	5.1%	
5	Do the teachers ensure timely completion of the syllabus?	65.3%	20.3%	5.1%	3.4%	5.9%	
6	Do the teachers make effective use of ICT to enhance the learning experience?	37.2%	16.7%	20.5%	6.4%	9%	
7	How will teachers communicate with the students?	24.6%	46.6%	13.6%	5.9%	9.3%	
8	Do you think the teachers try to respond to the individual needs of the students?	45.8%	28.8%	16.9%	8.5	-	

## 1.4.1 Teachers' Feedback Analysis

Sr. No.	Attributes	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Response Chart										
1.	How do you evaluate the syllabus taught to your students?	21.7%	65.2%	13%	-	 <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Q1 Response Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Very Good</td> <td>65.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Good</td> <td>21.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>13%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Very Good	65.2%	Good	21.7%	Excellent	13%		
Category	Percentage															
Very Good	65.2%															
Good	21.7%															
Excellent	13%															
2.	How frequently do you identify the strengths and weaknesses of students in Mentor-Mentee groups?	13%	82.6%	1%	-	 <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Q2 Response Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Very Good</td> <td>82.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Good</td> <td>13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>1%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Very Good	82.6%	Good	13%	Excellent	1%		
Category	Percentage															
Very Good	82.6%															
Good	13%															
Excellent	1%															
3.	Do you think co-curricular activities supplement the syllabus?	17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	-	 <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Q3 Response Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Very Good</td> <td>78.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Good</td> <td>17.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Very Good	78.3%	Good	17.4%	Excellent	4.3%		
Category	Percentage															
Very Good	78.3%															
Good	17.4%															
Excellent	4.3%															
4.	How do you evaluate the linkage between syllabus and employability of students?	21.7%	52.2%	21.7%	4.3%	 <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Q4 Response Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Very Good</td> <td>52.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Good</td> <td>21.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>21.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Very Good	52.2%	Good	21.7%	Excellent	21.7%	Average	4.3%
Category	Percentage															
Very Good	52.2%															
Good	21.7%															
Excellent	21.7%															
Average	4.3%															
5.	How do you rate the adequacy of ICT-enabled teaching facilities?	8.7%	34.8%	47.8%	8.7%	 <table border="1"> <caption>Data for Q5 Response Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Very Good</td> <td>47.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Good</td> <td>34.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>8.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average</td> <td>8.7%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Very Good	47.8%	Good	34.8%	Excellent	8.7%	Average	8.7%
Category	Percentage															
Very Good	47.8%															
Good	34.8%															
Excellent	8.7%															
Average	8.7%															

## 1.4.1

## Alumni Feedback Analysis

Sr. No.	Attributes	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
1.	The content of the syllabus taught fulfillsthe demands of the present time.	60%	30%	10%	-	
2.	The syllabus covers all aspects of contemporary issues of national and international importance.	20%	60%	10%	10%	
3.	The syllabus in-corporates different environmental aspects.	36.4%	63.6%	-	-	
4.	The current syllabus job-oriented.	20%	50%	20%	10%	
5.	The present curriculum helps in the overall growth of a student.	30%	70%	-	-	

## 1.4.1 Employee Feedback Analysis

Attributes	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
The satisfaction of boss by leadership qualities of our alumni.					<p>Are you satisfied with leadership qualities of our alumni?</p>
How do you rate the planning and organizational skills of our alumni?					<p>The planning and organizational skills of our alumni are up to your requirement.</p>
Do you agree that current curriculum meets the requirements of your workplace?					<p>Does the Institute help in your overall growth and development?</p>
Do you agree that there is a need to modify existing curriculum?					<p>Does the Institute help in your overall growth and development?</p>
How do you rate our alumni on overall performance basis on scale of 1 to 10?					<p>On a scale of 1-10, how do you rate your workplace?</p>

**Feedback Analysed Report 2020-2021**

<http://gcmeham.ac.in/images/130/MultipleFiles/File16555.pdf>

**Feedback Analysed Report 2021-2022**

<http://gcmeham.ac.in/images/130/MultipleFiles/File16304.pdf>